

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,349	05/01/2001	Hirotomo Ishii	018775-827	2588
7	590 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Platon N. Mandros			LAROSE, COLIN M	
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & MA	ATHIS, L.L.P.		
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria VA 22313-1404			2623	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/845,349	ISHII, HIROTOMO	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Colin M. LaRose	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th 			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to the companion of the companion	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ——		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	0 -
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	arce because:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner	No(s) / V	

VIKKRAM BALI PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant asserts that Huang's subsampling is applied to the pixels in the pixel buffer pipe 42, and therefore, "the subsampling instructions are used to reduce pixels based on their relationship to the current pixel being processed." Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's deduction. In column 6, lines 19-54, Huang discloses that the horizontal and vertical resolution (i.e. the degree of subsampling) is determined by a "resolution signal" and/or a "magnification signal" received from the device used to scan the inputted image. Based on these signals, the pixel buffer pipe 42 peforms down-sampling to a "pre-determined resolution." Thus, Huang's subsampling appears to be uniform throughout the inputted image and is not dependent upon any pixels of interest, as asserted by the Applicant. While the pixel buffer pipe only processes 8 pixels at a time, the subsampling appears to be held uniform for the entire image based upon data from the scanning device that indicates at what resolution or magnification the original document was scanned. For these reasons, Examiner maintains that Huang does disclose the condition of "absolute positions of pixels in the image."